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The results of experiments on the synthesis of the off-axis quantized kinoforms of binary objects with the use of the weighting iterative Fourier transform (WIFT) algorithm are presented. Kinoforms are registered with a liquid-crystal spatial light modulator (SLM). A simple procedure to introduce the carrier frequency into the structure of an axial kinoform is proposed. An image reconstructed by an off-axis kinoform is free from the noises with the zero and close frequencies caused by the imperfection of both the phase mode of operation of the SLM and the effects of quantization of the registered phase. Data on the diffraction efficiency are also given.
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Possessing a high diffraction efficiency, the kinoform optical elements are widely used for the beam splitting (fan-out), beam shaping, and pattern or image generation[1,2].

The detailed description of the weighting iterative Fourier transform (WIFT) algorithm was given earlier[3], where we also showed that it is most efficient for the synthesis of the kinoforms of binary objects. In brief, the essence of the WIFT-algorithm consists in the following. Firstly, one or several iterations (Kgs) with an input real object f0 is realized by the classical Gerchberg-Saxton (GS) scheme. Then, in all iterations with k > Kgs at the formation of the input, the amplitude fk will be replaced by a new amplitude defined as fk = ak fk, where the weight coefficients αk are determined by the recurrence relation αk = αk-1 βk-1, (k > 1), βk-1 = fo/|Jk-1 |  1, |Jk-1 | is the reconstructed amplitude at the (k - 1)th iteration, and ε is a small number ~ 10^-10. The processing of the phases of an object plane and the kinoform remains the same as that in the classic GS-algorithm. From the viewpoint of optics, the system of weight coefficients αk normalized to unity can be interpreted as some object-dependent amplitude filter which acts on the initial object f0 and varies in the process of iterations. We note that all the coefficients αk in the iteration process tend to unity in the case when the iterative process converges.

In this letter, we study the potentialities of the WIFT algorithm at the synthesis of the off-axis kinoforms of binary objects with regard for the quantization of a phase registered with a spatial light modulator (SLM). In the course of modeling and optical experiments, we compared the WIFT algorithm with the kinoform version of the Fienup input-output (IO) algorithm[4]. The latter is most convenient for the sake of comparison, because it is well known and, like the weighting algorithm, differs from the GS-algorithm only by means of processing of a field in the object plane. The programming of the IO algorithm is realized by Eqs. (8)–(10) from Ref. [4].

A number of model experiments with various binary objects (with sizes of 64×64 and 128×128) were realized with the purpose to compare the potentialities of the WIFT and IO algorithms at the synthesis of quantized kinoforms. In all the cases, the same phase starting diffuser with a uniform distribution of phases in the interval (0–2π) is used. In the experiments involving the IO algorithm, we used the optimum value of the object-dependent coefficient β = βopt in Eqs. (8) and (9) of Ref. [4], which was determined by means of the cyclic repetition of the procedure of synthesis for various values of β (from the interval 0.1–5.0 with a step of 0.1). In Figs. 2 and 3 the results of model experiments on the kinoforms’ synthesis for one of the typical binary objects (Fig. 1(a)) with a dimension of 128×128 counts are presented. The variance of the intensities of images reconstructed in the process of iterations was evaluated by the standard formula[5]. The presented plots for the ranges and the dispersions of the output intensity clearly demonstrate the advantages of the stepwise quantization[5] as compared with the direct quantization of the kinoform phases. As seen from Figs. 2 and 3 and Tables 1 and 2, the advantage of the WIFT algorithm over the IO algorithm as for the quality of reconstructed images of binary objects at the continuous representation of the phase of a kinoform[5] is lost at the transition to the quantized representation. If the spread of the intensities of bits ΔIone-bits for bit array-object is less than ΔIIO one-bits for any number of quantization levels (NQL),
onto seven levels: (a) WIFT algorithm; (b) IO algorithm.

Fig. 2. Ranges of output intensity without quantizing as well as with stepwise and direct quantizing of the kinoform phase.

Table 1. Dependence of the Ratio \( \frac{I_{\text{WIFT, one-bits}}}{I_{\text{IO, one-bits}}} \) from the Number of Quantization Levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Quant. Levels</th>
<th>Ratio ( \frac{I_{\text{WIFT, one-bits}}}{I_{\text{IO, one-bits}}} )</th>
<th>Bit-array Object</th>
<th>Letter-F Object</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.693</td>
<td>1.011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.585</td>
<td>1.121</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.639</td>
<td>1.112</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>0.631</td>
<td>1.103</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>0.523</td>
<td>0.651</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127</td>
<td>0.371</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>255</td>
<td>0.384</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Dependence of the Ratio \( \frac{I_{\text{min, one-bits}}}{I_{\text{max, zero-bits}}} \) from the Number of Quantization Levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Quant. Levels</th>
<th>Ratio ( \frac{I_{\text{min, one-bits}}}{I_{\text{max, zero-bits}}} )</th>
<th>Bit-array Object</th>
<th>Letter-F Object</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WIFT</td>
<td>IO</td>
<td>Letter-F Object</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>8.50</td>
<td>7.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>11.70</td>
<td>12.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>10.62</td>
<td>14.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>17.50</td>
<td>14.95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127</td>
<td>13.01</td>
<td>14.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>255</td>
<td>14.03</td>
<td>12.80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

then the IO algorithm is preferable for letter-objects at \( NQL \leq 31 \). At the same time, by the ratio \( \frac{I_{\text{min, one-bits}}}{I_{\text{max, zero-bits}}} \) (which can be regarded as a stronger version of the ordinary signal/noise ratio), we have the inverse pattern for letter-objects: at small \( NQL \), the WIFT algorithm is preferable.

The data in the Tables 1 and 2 were calculated using the stepwise quantization of the kinoform phases. Note that the values in Tables 1 and 2 can vary by depending on the chosen start-diffuser. However, the total pattern is conserved, that is none of the algorithms has the obvious advantage. But the WIFT algorithm conserves some advantage, because it contains no parameters requiring the optimization (contrary to the IO algorithm), which essentially accelerates the counting rate.

In the synthesis of an axial Fourier-kinoform which reconstructs the image in the zero order of diffraction, the inaccuracy of the representation of the kinoform’s phase leads to the appearance of a bright spot surrounded by noises at the center of the image. This effect can be eliminated in the single way due to a displacement of the image, as a whole, to the side from the optical axis of the system of reconstruction. This can be achieved by the synthesis of an off-axis kinoform which reconstructs the image in the nonzero order. We proposed a simple method of construction of off-axis kinofoms\(^{[6]}\) by means of the introduction of a space carrier (linear phase) in the axis kinoform. The method has certain advantages over the known methods. In particular, it admits a greater shift of the reconstructed image from the optical axis of the Fourier-system. In this method, the linear phase \( 2\pi(x_o u + y_o v) \) is supplemented to the phase \( \psi(u, v) \) of an on-axis kinoform at the last iteration. As a result, in the ideal case, the calculated kinoform will reconstruct the image as

\[
\begin{align*}
&f_{\text{off}}(x, y) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left\{\exp[i(\psi(u, v) + 2\pi(x_o u + y_o v))]ight\} = \\
&f(x, y) \otimes \delta(x - x_o, y - y_o) = \\
&f(x - x_o, y - y_o).
\end{align*}
\]

(1)

Here, \( \mathcal{F}^{-1} \) is the operator of the inverse Fourier-transformation, \( f_{\text{off}}(x, y) \) is the off-axis image, \( f(x, y) \) is the axial image, \( \delta \) is the delta-function, the symbol \( \otimes \) stands for the operation of convolution, and \( x_o, y_o \) are the shifts of the image along the axes \( x, y \). In practice\(^{[7]}\), in order to eliminate the influence of a finite size of the pixel-active window \( \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \) of the SLM on the reconstructed image, the input object \( f(x, y) \) must be predistorted in the start of iterations by

\[
\begin{align*}
&f(x, y) = f(x, y)[\text{sinc}(x)\text{sinc}(y)]^{-1},
\end{align*}
\]

(2)

where

\[
\begin{align*}
&\text{sinc}_1(x) = \text{sinc}[\tau_1(x + x_o)/\Delta x], \\
&\text{sinc}_2(y) = \text{sinc}[\tau_2(y + y_o)/\Delta y], \\
&\text{sinc}(z) = \text{sinc}\left[\frac{z}{\pi \Delta z}\right],
\end{align*}
\]

(3)

where \( \Delta x \times \Delta y \) is the size of the image. Under conditions of \( |x| \leq \Delta x/2, |y| \leq \Delta y/2 \) and \( \tau_1, \tau_2 \leq 1 \), assuming that \( \Delta x = \Delta y \) and \( \tau_1 = \tau_2 \), in order that the denominator in Eq. (2) do not become zero in the region \( |x, y| \leq \Delta x/2 \), it
Fig. 3. Variance of the intensity of reconstructed images versus the iteration number.

In Fig. 4, we present the results of experiments with a bit array. In calculations, we applied the stepwise quantization with the number of quantization levels \( M = 256 \) and the ratio of iterations GS/weighting = 25/200. The measured intensity profile demonstrates a high homogeneity of light spots. Each spot of the image was recorded by an area consisting of 9 × 9 pixels of a CCD-chamber, which allows us to control the regularity of the position of intensity maxima of a spot in the output plane. No deviations from the regularity were observed.

In Fig. 5, the plots characterizing the quality of the image (Fig. 4) reconstructed by an off-axis kinoform are presented. As distinct from the model experiments in which the WIFT-method was preferable over the IO-method at NQL=256 (see Tables 1 and 2), both methods in this experiment gave practically the same results. Image reconstruction was carried out in order of \( P_x = 0.4 \), \( P_y = 0.4 \). The error of variance of intensity obtained in the experiment was \( \approx 6\% \).

In Fig. 6 shows the image of letter F reconstructed into the order \( P_x = 0.50, P_y = 0 \). In Fig. 7, we demonstrate how the DE of a kinoform varies at the subsequent shift.
Fig. 7. Diffraction efficiency of the off-axis kinoform vs the partial diffraction order (for the object-letter F). Curve 1: shift along the axis $x$; Curve 2: shift along the diagonal in the $x,y$-plane. Of the image. Curves 1 and 2 correspond to a shift along the axis $x$ and along the diagonal in the $x,y$-plane ($P_x, P_y = 0, 0.1, 0.15, \cdots, 0.50$), respectively. DE was defined as the ratio of the intensity of the diffracted light to the light intensity available for diffraction pattern. Accuracy of the calculation DE was $\approx 2.3\%$. In these cases, the synthesis of kinoforms was realized with the help of the WIFT algorithm at the ratio of the numbers of iterations $GS/weighting = 25/200$.

In conclusion, we present the results of numerical and optical experiments studying the quality of the reconstructed images of binary objects for off-axis quantized kinoforms calculated with the help of the WIFT and IO algorithms. To obtain off-axis kinoforms from on-axis ones, we propose a simple procedure of introduction of a spatial carrier to an on-axis kinoform, which admits a greater shift of the reconstructed image from the optical axis of the Fourier-system as compared with the available ones. During the experiments with the WIFT and IO algorithms, we find that the physico-technical parameters of available SLMs do not allow one to completely realize the potentialities of high-precision algorithms; both ones, in this case. Apparently, we may assert that a kinoform calculated with the help of any of the algorithms ensuring the rated variance of the intensity of reconstructed images $\leq 1 \times 10^{-4}$ will give the image of approximately identical quality under the realization with an SLM of the type used by us.
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