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We demonstrate a series of experiments on imaging through both stationary and moving aberrating media by computational ghost imaging (CGI). An incoherent LED light source is used instead of the common pseudothermal light source (laser light passing through a rotating ground glass). A digital micromirror device is used as a simple spatial light modulator to perform CGI. Moreover, a digital filtering method is introduced to improve imaging quality through moving aberrating media. This imaging modality may have potential applications in medicine and astronomy.
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Imaging methods through aberrating media are highly interesting to biologists and astronomers, who are becoming closer to achieving this goal using various techniques. These techniques include optical phase conjugation, spatial and temporal wavefront shaping, and turbid lens imaging. However, these methods require either complex experimental setups (e.g., femtosecond laser, electron multiplying charge-coupled device (EM-CCD), etc.) or complicated numerical solution procedures. Drastic alterations in aberrating media are also forbidden in these methods.

Different from classical imaging mechanisms, ghost imaging (GI) is a novel imaging technique because of its second-order intensity correlation. After traditional GI (TGI), many improvements have been made. In terms of algorithm, differential GI (DGI) and normalized GI (NGI) have been proposed because of the better imaging performance than TGI. In terms of system complexity, computational GI (CGI) that requires only one optical path is theoretically proposed by Jeren H. Shapiro in 2008, which requires only one optical path. Then, Silberberg et al. first utilized a spatial light modulator (SLM) to experimentally investigate CGI in 2009. Recently, Sun et al. used CGI to study object authentication and three-dimensional computational imaging, respectively. Inspired by Gong’s work, we investigate imaging in this letter imaging through both stationary and moving aberrating media through CGI with TGI algorithm (DGI algorithm has similar performance to TGI when the object is highly absorbing). A digital filtering method is also introduced to improve the image quality of certain moving aberrating medium situations. Recent work by Goy et al. demonstrated imaging in focusing Kerr media using digital holography and digital reverse propagation of the wave, which showed similar two-paths optical structure to GI except for different algorithms.

The experimental schematic is shown in Fig. 1(a). A beam of incoherent light emitted by a cold white LED (MCWH5 Thorlabs, USA), is first irradiated onto a digital micromirror device (DMD) consisting of 1024 x 768 independent addressable units. Each unit is a 13.68 × 13.68-(μm) micromirror with an adjustable angle of ± 12° and a maximum flipping frequency of 20 kHz. Then, the modulated light goes through a thin lens L1 with focal length f1 = 10 cm, and the distance from the DMD to L1 is Z = 16 cm. A reflection-type object (school badge of Shanghai Jiao Tong University; 1.3 × 1.3 (cm); Fig. 1(b)) is placed at a distance of L = 42 cm from the DMD to satisfy the Gaussian thin-lens equation 1/f1 ≈ 1/(L − Z) + 1/Z. After being reflected from the badge, the signal light then goes through an aberrating medium (a 2-mm-thick ground glass), and another lens L2 collects the scattered light onto a “bucket” detector simulated by a charge-coupled device (CCD). The modulation speed of DMD is set to 106 Hz (which could be higher using only a part of the micro-mirrors), synchronous with the CCD acquisition rate. During each acquisition, a pre-calculated random binary image of 1024 × 768 pixels (Fig. 1(c)) is first sent to the DMD where the minimum independent region is realized by 4 × 4 pixels. Figure 1(d) shows the image directly captured by the CCD behind the ground glass. In Fig. 1(d), we cannot recognize any information on the badge.

The imaging result can be retrieved by

\[
g(x, y) = \langle \langle b(n) - \langle b(n) \rangle \rangle \langle r_n(x, y) - \langle r_n(x, y) \rangle \rangle \rangle
\]

where \( \langle \cdot \rangle \) denotes an ensemble average over \( N \) times of acquisitions; \( b(n) \) and \( r_n(x, y) \) represent the \( n \)th bucket detecting value (by summing over the CCD’s pixel values) and the \( n \)th reference path’s spatial pattern, respectively. Some other value can also be taken to represent \( b(n) \) to obtain the imaging result such as the central value or other value by summing over small blocks of the recorded image through CCD. Imaging results with different number of acquisitions are shown in
The coherence length (i.e., the speckle size) according to the Cittert-Zernike theorem, it is determined by transverse resolution. Figure 1. (Color online) (a) Schematic of imaging through aberrating media by CGI. (b) Imaging target (a school badge of Shanghai Jiao Tong University). (c) Random image sent to DMD. (d) Image captured by CCD behind the ground glass.

Figure 2. The image quality clearly improves with the number of acquisitions: (a) N=3000, (b) N=30000, and (c) N=120000. (d) Enlarged image of parts of (c) marked in red.

Fig. 2. The image quality clearly improves with the number of acquisitions intuitively increasing.

In terms of transverse resolution, based on the van Cittert-Zernike theorem, it is determined by transverse coherence length (i.e., the speckle size) of the light field. Specific to CGI with DMD, the resolution is mainly determined by two elements: the minimum independent region of preset random image and the magnifying effect of lens. In the above experiment, we choose 4 × 4 micromirrors with an edge length of \( a = 4 \times 13.68 = 54.72 \mu m \) as the minimum independent region. Thus, the transverse resolution \( \delta_x \) can be recognized as an approximation of \( \delta_x (L = 42 \text{ cm}) = a \times (L - Z) / Z \approx 90 \mu m \). Two parallel lines marked in red in the central part of the badge (Fig. 2(c)) are well resolved from Fig. 2(d). The vertical distance between the double lines is approximately 200 \( \mu m \), larger than \( \delta_x \). Thus, we can detect objects with more details using this imaging system. Regarding signal-to-noise ratio (SNR), it can be expressed as \( \text{SNR} = \frac{N \Delta T^2}{\Delta T_{\text{min}}^2} \), where \( \Delta T \) and \( \Delta T_{\text{min}} \) denote the average quadratic transmission function of the object and the minimum variation of the object transmission function, respectively. \( N \) denotes the average number of speckles reflecting from (or transmitting through) the object and can be derived from \( N = \frac{A_{\text{beam}}}{A_{\text{coh}}} = \frac{A_{\text{beam}}}{\delta^2} \), where \( A_{\text{beam}} \) denotes the beam area in the position of the object; \( \delta^2 \) and \( A_{\text{coh}} (A_{\text{coh}} = \delta^2) \) denote the speckle size also in the position of the object. In this letter, \( \Delta T_{\text{min}} \approx 0.5 \times 275 \mu m \), and \( \delta^2 \approx 90 \mu m \); thus, \( N \approx 34 \times 34 \approx 4000 \) speckles. After some calculations, the SNR values corresponding to \( N = 3000, 30000, \) and \( 120000 \), are \( 1.68, 10.06, \), and 20.14. According to the analysis above, we can improve the resolution of this imaging system by reducing the minimum independent region of the preset random image to \( 2 \times 2 \) or \( 1 \times 1 \) pixels, but this process may cause a decrease in SNR. Thus, a tradeoff clearly exists between resolution and SNR. We can also improve the image SNR by simply taking more acquisitions.

The above experiment mainly focuses on the situation of stationary aberrating media. However, image quality may be dramatically affected in cases of moving aberrating media such as body fluid flow in medical inspections and atmospheric dust movements in astronomical observations. Thus, we perform another experiment of rotating the ground glass (driven by a programmable stepper motor) during detection to simulate imaging through moving aberrating media. The angular velocity \( \omega \) is set to be changeable between \( \frac{\pi}{2} \) and \( \frac{\pi}{4} \) rad/s. The experimental setup is the same as in Fig. 1(a). The DMD modulation speed and CCD acquisition rate remain at 106 Hz (frames/s). Figure 3(2)(c) shows the imaging result through a rotating ground glass with \( N = 60 \times 000 \) acquisitions, whereas Fig. 3(1)(c) is the result of imaging through a stationary ground glass. Figure 3(2)(c) reveals that almost all details of the badge are submerged in the background compared with Fig. 3(1)(c). The reason for this phenomenon is that the original bucket detecting values are polluted by noises aroused by rotating ground glass. However, considering the original bucket detecting values and the fact that noises may have different distributions in the Fourier-transform domain (or other domains), we may be able to improve the image quality by suppressing noise components. In the present letter, we propose a digital filtering method to reduce the negative effects of moving aberrating media. The analysis process is demonstrated as follows:

\[
B(k) = \text{FFT}(b(n)), \tag{2}
\]

\[
\tilde{B}(k) = H(k)B(k), \tag{3}
\]

\[
\tilde{b}(n) = \text{IFFT}(\tilde{B}(k)), \tag{4}
\]

where \( b(n) \) and \( \tilde{b}(n) \) (\( 1 \leq n \leq N \)) denote sequences of bucket detecting values before and after filtering; \( H(k) \) (\( 1 \leq k \leq N \)) denotes a frequency-selective filter with which we could suppress the noise frequency components; FFT and IFFT denote the Fast Fourier-transformation and its inverse transformation, respectively. By substituting \( \tilde{b}(n) \) to Eq. (1), we get the imaging result after filtering \( \tilde{g}(x, y) = \langle \tilde{b}(n) \rangle r_n(x, y) - \langle b(n) \rangle (r_n(x, y)). \)
form than Fig. 3(2)(b)). Figure 3(3)(a) shows the IFFT result of bucket detecting values of the three cases; column (c) is imaging result of the three cases.

To verify the availability of the digital filtering method based on Eqs. (2)–(4), we compare the three cases shown in Fig. 3. The first case is a stationary ground glass, the second is a rotating ground glass, and the third is a rotating ground glass with digital filtering. Figure 3(1)(a) shows the fluctuation distribution of $N = 60000$ bucket detecting values (denoted by $b_1(n)$, $1 \leq n \leq N$) of the first case, and Fig. 3(2)(a) shows that of the second case (denoted by $b_2(n)$, $1 \leq n \leq N$). The standard deviation of $b_1(n)$ is $5.1404 \times 10^3$ smaller than that of $b_2(n)$ ($1.1946 \times 10^4$), which obviously results from the noise brought in by the rotating ground glass. Figures 3(1)(b) and 3(2)(b) show the FFT results of $b_1(n)$ and $b_2(n)$, denoted by $B_1(k)$ and $B_2(k)$, respectively. Figures 3(1)(b) and 3(2)(b) show that $B_1(k)$ is very uniform compared with most of the frequency components, whereas $B_2(k)$ shows richness (peaks) in some specific frequency components (Fig. 3(2)(b)). Then we use a low-cut digital filter, denoted by $H(k)$ (red line in Fig. 3(2)(b)), to suppress these frequency components. The result after filtering, denoted by $B_3(k)$, is shown in Fig. 3(3)(b) (more uniform than Fig. 3(2)(b)). Figure 3(3)(a) shows the IFFT result of $B_3(k)$, denoted by $b_3(n)$. Column (c) in Fig. 3 shows reconstructed images of the three cases where in terms of image quality, Fig. 3(1)(c) is intuitively the best, Fig. 3(2)(c) is the worst, and Fig. 3(3)(c) shows markedly improved quality compared with Fig. 3(2)(c). The SNR values of the three cases in Fig. 3 are 16.58, 2.13, and 6.12. Therefore, based on intuition and quantitative analyses, the method of digital filtering helps improve the quality of imaging through moving aberrating media as long as the noise introduced by moving media can be distinguished in the Fourier-transform domain (or other domains). This experiment is demonstrated just as a simple example but without loss of generality of imaging through moving aberrating media.

In conclusion, compared with conventional optical imaging, imaging through stationary aberrating media can achieve improved image quality by CGI. Furthermore, in terms of imaging through moving aberrating media, the digital filtering technique helps reduce the negative effects of moving media as long as the noises introduced by moving media can be distinguished in the Fourier-transform domain (or other domains). These experiments use only a set of simple experimental apparatuses: a simple and low-cost LED light source, a convenient binary SLM DMD and an ordinary CCD. Moreover, we introduce a simple, mature, and adaptive digital filtering algorithm that shows wide range of applications in industrial control and voice processing to improve the image quality of imaging through moving aberrating media. The CGI modality with digital filtering technique may have potential applications in clinical monitoring and astronomical observations.
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