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Measurement and Analysis of Junction Temperature of Semiconductor Laser Devices
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Abstract Junction temperature/thermal–resistance is reflected in the comprehensive cooling capacity of laser devices, which is closely related to the sintering quality of the solder layer. The operating junction temperatures of laser devices have been detected by the forward–voltage and wavelength–shift methods. The distribution of voids in the solder layer have been analyzed using a scanning acoustic microscope. The results verify the feasibility of junction temperature measurement, and confirm the relation between the junction temperature and the sintering quality of laser chips. These results will pave the way for the development of semiconductor lasers and the filtering of laser devices.
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1 Introduction

The operating temperature of a semiconductor laser (junction temperature) significantly influences the luminous efficiency of the device, its lasing spectra, and lifetime\textsuperscript{[1,2]}. Research on the junction temperature measurement and thermal characteristics of the laser devices is crucial to enhance their efficiency and reliability. Nowadays, the wall plug efficiency of the semiconductor lasers can reach to 50\%\textsuperscript{[3]}. However, owing to the non–radiative recombination, free carrier absorption, and ohmic contact, the loss of carrier will make the junction temperature rise. A high working temperature leads to a decreased efficiency, wavelength redshift, and material defects hyperplasia, resulting in reduced reliability and lifetime\textsuperscript{[4,5]}. Thus, the junction temperature is a key factor affecting the laser performance. Thermal resistance is the main parameter to...
quantify the cooling capacities of laser devices, which is the ratio of the temperature difference between the laser chip and the heat sink for heat production. Since the main limiting factor on the heat dissipation path is the infiltration and integrity of the solder layer, the output power and reliability of semiconductor laser as well as the sintering quality of the laser chip solder layer should be improved in order to reduce the junction temperature\(^6\).

It is difficult to directly measure the junction temperature. The active layer of the semiconductor laser is located inside the quantum well region, which is in nanometer scale. Generally, a micro-galvanic measurement can only be conducted at the heat sink near the chip, and is unable to detect the chip encapsulated into a shell. As far as the infrared imaging method\(^7-8\) is concerned, it is difficult to accurately detect the junction temperature because of the interference noise of the surrounding infrared radiation, because of which the packaged chip cannot be measured. Since the mutual influence of the electrical–optical–thermal properties in laser, the thermal parameters can be reflected by the electrical or optical testing, i.e, the junction temperature\(^9\). The forward-voltage method\(^10-13\) is based on the approximately linear relationship between the voltage and the junction temperature. The temperature rise $\Delta T$ is obtained by measuring the voltage difference $\Delta V$ at different working conditions. The wavelength-shift method\(^14-15\) determines the temperature rise based on the variation of the lasing wavelength. The temperature rise $\Delta T$ is indirectly calculated by recording the wavelength redshift $\Delta \lambda$. These two methods for measuring the junction temperature are widely used, but with no comparison.

Notably, by far there is no direct correspondence and analysis between the junction temperature/thermal resistance and the sintering quality of the chips; thus, for the development and manufacture of lasers, it is of limited significance. In order to guide the development and production of laser devices, the study on the relationship between the junction temperature and the package quality is needed. In this paper, we compare the results of the junction temperature of the semiconductor lasers using the forward–voltage and wavelength–shift methods. The comparison and analysis of the junction temperature/thermal–resistance value and solder sintering quality are carried out using a scanning acoustic microscope (SAM). The above-mentioned work provides reference data for the junction temperature/thermal resistance of the semiconductor lasers, which aids the improvement of their packages, cooling, and lifetime.

2 Experimental

The InGaP/InGaAsP quantum–well laser chips are grown by Inspur Huaguang Optoelectronics Co. Ltd. using the metal–organic chemical vapor deposition (MOCVD) method. The strip width is 150 $\mu$m, the cavity length is 1.5 mm, and the lasing wavelength is ~808 nm. The P–side of the laser chips are downward–mounted on the AlN ceramic heat sinks coated with gold–tin ($\text{Au}_8\text{Sn}_{20}$) solder, then the heat sinks are sintered on the oxygen–free copper blocks using indium (In) solder. Subsequently, the devices are encapsulated into the package. The schematic diagram for the laser package structure is shown in Fig.1 (a).

The instrument settings are shown in Fig.1 (b) below. The junction temperature and thermal resistance of the laser devices are measured using the LED310 thermoelectric performance analyzer from Zhejiang Everfine Corporation. The devices are fixed onto the temperature control table of the instrument, whose temperature control accuracy is $\pm$ 0.1 °C. The wavelength of the laser is measured using a Japan Yokogawa’s AQ6370B spectrometer, with the laser power recorded by a LP–3B laser power–meter from Beijing Physcience Opto–Electronics Co. Ltd. The sintering quality and void distribution of the solder layer have been analyzed using a German KSI v400E SAM.
3 Results and discussion

By extensive testing and filtering of the laser devices, we found four types of samples with different junction temperature characteristics. In different batches of the products, these four types of samples appear in different proportions, which should be ascribed to the variations in raw material and workmanship. The representative devices have been tested and analyzed in detail. In the experiment, the test current is 10 mA, and the operating current is 2 A. The test current is only 0.5% of the operating one, whose thermal impact can be negligible. The instrument automatically varies the temperature and measures the forward voltage of the device under 10 mA, the voltage – temperature coefficient \( K \) is obtained by linear fitting. Based on the voltage difference and the \( K \)-coefficient, the temperature rise \( \Delta T \) is calculated, resulting in an operating junction temperature. In the same batch of chips, the coefficient \( K \) is very close, the standard measurement of the difference is less than 1% of the measured average. The thermal resistance \( (R) \) of the laser device is calculated by the formula:

\[
R = \frac{\Delta T}{U - P_{\text{laser}}}.
\]

Where \( U \) and \( I \) are the working voltage and current, respectively. Table 1 shows the operating junction temperature and thermal resistance values of the four typical laser devices using the forward-voltage method. Although the chips are of the same batch, the differences of the junction temperature and thermal resistance between the samples are still considerable. By comparison, we believe that the device A is normal, and that its value can be used as a standard. The junction temperature/thermal resistance value of device B is slightly higher, while that of device C is significantly higher than A and B; the high junction temperature of the device D is mainly due to the low optical power, and the calculated thermal resistance is relatively close to the normal one.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Operating voltage /V</th>
<th>Laser power /W</th>
<th>( \Delta T )/℃</th>
<th>( R /{(}^\circ\text{C/W}{)} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>2.004</td>
<td>1.451</td>
<td>17.61</td>
<td>6.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>1.990</td>
<td>1.433</td>
<td>20.05</td>
<td>7.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>2.002</td>
<td>1.445</td>
<td>24.98</td>
<td>9.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>1.984</td>
<td>1.227</td>
<td>19.50</td>
<td>7.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At elevated temperatures, the bandgap \( (E_g) \) of semiconductor materials shrinks, and thus, the lasing wavelength shows an approximately linear redshift with the temperature rise, i.e., \( \Delta \lambda = k \cdot \Delta T \). We have measured the wavelength-shift of the experimental devices with the environmental temperatures. A pulse generator is used to input a pulsed current with a duty ratio of 1% (100 μs, 100 Hz, peak current 1 A). In a working condition with short pulses and a small duty cycle, the thermal effect of the current can be ignored. The measured coefficient between the laser wavelength and the junction temperature could be a standard for determining the junction temperature of laser devices.

After achieving the thermal equilibrium of the laser device and the equipment, we record the lasing wavelength under the pulsed current injection and variable temperatures. The experimental results of three
batches of laser devices are shown in Fig. 2. The laser wavelengths shift with temperatures, exhibiting a good linear relationship. As a result of the same semiconductor material and the active layer structure, the slopes of the curves obtained are almost exactly equal, with a value of 0.23 nm/°C. The different lasing wavelengths are due to the slight difference of the epitaxial conditions.

The lasing wavelengths of the devices are shown in Table 2; \( \lambda_1 \) is the wavelength recorded under the pulsed current, while \( \lambda_2 \) is that under an operating direct current (DC) current of 2 A. Considering the wavelength shift to the temperature, as shown in Fig. 2, the operating temperatures are calculated. The data in these two tables are plotted in Fig. 3. As can be seen, the value of the junction temperature obtained from the forward–voltage method is somewhat higher than that measured using the wavelength–shift method, which is about 90% of the former. However, the trend of the junction temperature values of samples obtained by the two methods is the same.

In this analysis, linear fitting calculation is used. However, due to some non–linear factor, there is a certain deviation. Although the thermal control of the laser device reaches the steady state in the measurements, because of the heat capacity of the overall package and heat dissipation, there may be a certain temperature gradient between the chips and the environment, i.e, the "ambient temperature" of the chips could be somewhat higher than the control temperature of the equipment. The obtained emission peaks envelope several adjacent longitudinal– mode lasing emissions, which have a certain peak width, while the spectrometer records the central position of the entire peak, which is an overall resultant performance of
the electrical–optical–thermal condition in the whole laser resonator. Forward–voltage measurement should be more accurate than the wavelength–shift method. In the electrical measurement, the hysteresis of the voltage–current conversion is quite small, may be of a few s. The obtained temperature data is closer to the actual one\textsuperscript{[16–17]}. Thus, the forward–voltage and wavelength–shift methods are both effective ways to detect the operating junction temperature of lasers, while the forward–voltage method is of higher measurement accuracy, and it also provides more realistic value.

Different junction temperature/thermal resistance values mean that the devices are differentiated on the basis of the ability of heat radiation. In the laser devices, the overall thermal resistance includes all the materials and the contact interfaces on the heat transfer path. Thermal conductivities of copper and AlN ceramics are 400 and 170 W/(m·K), much higher than the solders, so the difference in junction temperatures mainly reflects the sintering quality of the solder layer. The thermal conductivity of the voids in the solder layer is quite low. A high void proportion means a limited radiation path in the solder layer, resulting in a larger thermal resistance and higher junction temperature. In the device structure, the solder layers consist of a 5 μm AuSn solder between the chip and AlN heat sink, and a 12–15 μm In solder between the AlN heat sink and copper block. Considering the thickness, the In solder layer is less likely to contain voids. As the chip area is much smaller than the heat sink, the thin layer of AuSn solder is not only prone to contain voids, but also the voids could result in a higher reduction of the thermal path. In addition, the thermal conductivity of the AuSn alloy [57 W/(m·K)] is less than In [81.6 W/(m·K)]. Thus, we believe that the AuSn solder under the laser chip is a key factor affecting the thermal performance of the laser device, the voids in which will lead to a significant rise in junction temperature/thermal resistance.

The confirmation of the distribution of voids in the solder layer needs microscopic analysis. The sintering conditions of AuSn solder is in general difficult to observe. In order to verify the relationship between the junction–temperature / thermal–resistance and the sintering quality, we use SAM to analyzes the sintering condition of the AuSn solder layer. Acoustic analysis is suitable for most solid materials, which can analyze a layer of material distribution in depth and is extremely sensitive to detect voids. Figure 4 shows the SAM images of the AuSn solder layers under the chips, together with the rise value of the junction–temperatures obtained by forward–voltage method.

![Fig.4 SAM images marked with the corresponding junction temperature rise](image)

Both the images of samples A and D are uniform, and the color is almost black, indicating that the solder layers get denser after sintering and have no voids. The image of sample B is uniform, but the color is dark gray, meaning different acoustic impedance is obtained from a dense solid. Thus, in sample B, the whole chip is bonded by the solder, but the alloy sintering reaction is not fully complete, with some existing microdefects. In comparison, in sample C, we find significantly whitish regions under the chip, which represents the presence of larger voids after sintering\textsuperscript{[18]}. Combined with the production experience and the analysis on the surface of the solder after stripping, the relatively high junction temperature/thermal resistance value in sample B should be ascribed to the somewhat poorly sintered AuSn solder layer, which contains microdefects. The cooling efficiency of the heat sink to the environment is reduced, but the whole chip and the heat sink are bonded. The main reason is the
fluctuation of sintering temperature, which could be solved by increasing the equipment stability. In sample C, the high junction temperature/thermal resistance values derived from the significant voids in the AuSn solder layer, especially the large area under the front end of the chip. The voids accumulate the heat generated by the chip, significantly limit the heat dissipation, and increase the operating junction temperature. The formation of the voids is ascribed to the surface contamination on the chip and solder, the uneven composition of the solder, and the skewed fixture when sintering the chip on the solder. Its ratio can be reduced by the screening and protection of the raw material. The results in the SAM images are consistent with the above measurements, which confirm the junction temperature results. The optical power of sample D is only about 85% of the normal sample A, which comes from the lower conversion efficiency of the chip. Although the sintering condition is good, under a similar electrical input power the produced heat is more, resulting in a higher junction temperature, but normal thermal resistance.

Although the production of the above defective devices cannot completely be avoided, the quality of downstream devices could be guaranteed by testing and filtering. The above results confirm the relationship between the junction temperature/thermal resistance and the sintering quality of laser devices. The laser device with poor sintering quality can be sieved, which yields high junction temperature and thermal resistance during the measurement, while the laser device with low efficiency can be filtered out by power measurement. This work provides a basis of reference for the evaluation and sieving of the laser devices by the junction temperature/thermal resistance measurements.

4 Conclusion

The operating junction temperature and thermal resistance of the semiconductor lasers are measured using the forward–voltage and wavelength–shift methods, respectively. The feasibility and reliability of the two methods have been compared. The distribution of the voids in the solder layers is analyzed using SAM, which confirms the reason for the rise in junction temperature/thermal resistance and the correspondence between the junction temperature and sintering quality. This work provides evidence for the measurement of the electrical–optical–thermal properties of the semiconductor lasers, as well as the evaluation of the sintering quality and reliability of the laser devices.
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