Active noise thin-plate spline smoothing method for full-field strain measurement by digital image correlation
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Digital image correlation has become an important and effective non-contact optical full-field strain measurement technique. The strain field obtained directly by image correlation algorithm is full of noise. In this letter, we explore a novel way of actively adding small amount of Gaussian random noise to original displacement field, subsequently utilizing the well-known thin-plate spline smoothing (TPSS) technique to smooth the noised displacement field, and finally differentiating smoothed displacement field to get reliable strain field. The resultant method, named as active noise thin-plate spline smoothing (ANTPSS), outperforms the conventional TPSS and spline least-squares approximation. Moreover, ANTPSS successfully smooths the displacement filed obtained from three-point bending experiment of foam block and generates a reliable inhomogeneous strain field.
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Digital image correlation (DIC)[1–3] is an effective and easy-to-use technique which measures the surface deformation of samples and structures under consideration. In DIC, the problem of computing accurate and reliable strain field from direct correlation solutions is still being actively investigated. It is more practical to apply smoothing algorithms to displacement fields for performing strain analysis[4]. Thin-plate spline smoothing (TPSS) was one of the most effective methods which simultaneously consider the “closeness” of spline to observed data and spline “smoothness” by adding the smooth penalty to traditional spline least-squares formulation. In 1991, Sutton et al.[5] proposed the finite element formulation of TPSS. Recently, Pan et al.[6] proposed pointwise least squares for strain field calculation. The spline least-squares approximation can also be used to smooth displacement field.

In this letter, we explore a novel way of actively adding small amount of Gaussian white-noise to original displacement field then smooth the noised field with TPSS and form the new method active noise TPSS (ANTPSS). Experiment on simulated tensile test and comparison between ANTPSS, TPSS and spline least-squares approximation show that the proposed ANTPSS gives more accurate strain field.

DIC is a well-established non-contact full-field deformation measurement technique. After the digital images of the spackled surface before and after deformation are recorded, the reference subset and deformed subset on two images could be mathematically compared with zero-mean normalized cross-correlation criteria (ZNCC)[7] as

\[
C(\tilde{p})=1-\frac{\sum_{M} \sum_{M} f(x, y)-f_{m} |x| g(x', y')-g_{m}}{\sqrt{\sum_{M} \sum_{M} (f(x, y)-f_{m})^{2} \sum_{M} \sum_{M} (g(x', y')-g_{m})^{2}}}.
\]

where \( f(x, y) \) and \( g(x', y') \) represent the gray value of the reference and deformed subsets respectively, \( f_{m} \) and \( g_{m} \) are the average gray values of points in reference and deformed subsets of \((2M+1) \times (2M+1)\) pixels, and \( \tilde{p} \) is the deformation vector which describes the correspondance between coordinate \((x, y)\) and \((x', y')\). The coordinates \((x, y)\) of all points in reference subset after deformation could be expressed by first-order shape function. The bi-cubic spline interpolation could be used for gray value reconstruction.

To minimize the ZNCC criteria in Eq.(1), quasi-Newton (qN) method[3,4] was chosen to resolve the six deformation parameters in this study. Quasi-Newton method is an improvement of Newton-Raphson method, qN replaces the calculation and inversion of Hessian matrix by updating the approximation matrix with BFGS formula (Broyde, Fletcher, Goldfarb, Shanno) as[3]

\[
\nabla \nabla C(\tilde{p}_{k+1})^{-1} \approx H_{k+1} = H_{k} + \frac{1}{\sigma_{k} \delta_{k}} \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{\delta_{k}^{T} H_{k} \delta_{k}}{\sigma_{k}^{2}} \right) \delta_{k} \delta_{k}^{T} - H_{k} \delta_{k} \delta_{k}^{T} - \sigma_{k} \delta_{k}^{T} H_{k} \right].
\]

(2)

where \( H_{k+1} = H(\tilde{p}_{k+1}) \) is the approximation of Hessian matrix \( \nabla \nabla C(\tilde{p}_{k+1})^{-1} \), and \( H_{k} \) equals the identity matrix \( I \), \( \delta_{k} = \nabla C(\tilde{p}_{k+1}) - \nabla C(\tilde{p}_{k}) \), \( \delta_{k} = \tilde{p}_{k+1} - \tilde{p}_{k} \). The iteration formula of qN could be written as

\[
\tilde{p}_{k+1} = \tilde{p}_{k} - \tau H(\tilde{p}_{k}) \nabla C(\tilde{p}_{k}),
\]

(3)

where \( \tau > 0 \) is the step size, and \( \tau \) could be further determined by inexact line-search method which includes the bracketing phase and finding acceptable point within bracket.

Thin-plate spline smoothing[8,9] is an spline based smoothing technique which is able to tackle observed data of any dimension. Generally, the task of TPSS is to
minimize $S_\lambda(\alpha)$ of following form,
\[
S_\lambda(\alpha) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} [\tilde{\alpha}(x_i, y_i) - \alpha(x_i, y_i)]^2 \\
+ \lambda \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{m} \left( \frac{\alpha}{x^i y^{m-i}} \right)^2 \, dx \, dy,
\]
where $\lambda$ is the parameter that controls the tradeoff between the smoothness of resultant spline $\alpha(x, y)$ of degree $2m-1$ and the infidelity of $\alpha(x, y)$ to the observed data $\beta(x_i, y_i)$. Parameter $\lambda$ can be determined by generalized cross-validation (GCV)\textsuperscript{[9]}. 

In active noise thin-plate spline smoothing, additional Gaussian random noise $N\{0, \sigma[\beta(x_i, y_i)]\}$ is added to the original observed data $\beta(x_i, y_i)$ by
\[
\eta(x_i, y_i) = \beta(x_i, y_i) + N\{0, \sigma[\beta(x_i, y_i)]\}.
\]
The noise could be defined as constant deviation,
\[
N\{0, \sigma[\beta(x_i, y_i)]\} = N(0, \delta)
\]
or defined as magnitude dependent deviation model,
\[
N\{0, \sigma[\beta(x_i, y_i)]\} = N(0, \delta \cdot |\beta(x_i, y_i)|),
\]
where $\delta$ is a predefined active noise level. Then the TPSS is used to smooth the noised data $\eta(x_i, y_i)$. In DIC, $\beta(x_i, y_i)$ would be correlated displacement $u(x_i, y_i)$ and $v(x_i, y_i)$.

The performance of ANTPSS with two noise models on smoothing the actively noised displacement field for calculating strain field were verified on simulated tensile images. For comparison, original displacement fields were also smoothed directly with TPSS and spline least-squares approximation (SLSA). The reference image and deformed image with pre-assigned deformation configuration $\partial u/\partial x = 2000 \mu e$ were generated. The region of interest containing $1225 (= 35 \times 35)$ points and subset size of $31 \times 31$ pixels were selected. The deformed image is analyzed with qN method, and the obtained displacement field $u$ on $x$ direction and strain field $\partial u/\partial x$ are shown in Fig. 1.

The calculated displacement and strain fields in Fig. 1 are not very smooth, especially there is large variation in strain field since the pre-assigned value is $2000 \mu$ strain. In the following, the ANTPSS is used to smooth the displacement filed with different active noise level $\delta$ in two models, then the strain field is generated by differentiation. TPSS and SLSA are also adopted to smooth the original displacement filed. The precision of obtained strain field are evaluated with root mean-squares (RMS).

The strain fields and the corresponding RMS errors (in Fig. 2(d)) are shown in Fig. 2, where $\delta=0.01$ is used in ANTPSS. As the results demonstrate that the RMS is very small (only $14.0$ micro strains) for ANTPSS (in Fig. 2(c)) while SLSA (in Fig. 2(a)) and TPSS (in Fig. 2(b)) give rough strain field, the RMS error for TPSS is very large ($124.7$ micro strain). Compared with TPSS, SLSA produces smoother and better strain field, with RMS error equals $87.5$ micro strains.
Fig. 3. Mean RMS and standard deviation of RMS obtained with different $\delta$ for the constant deviation model.

Fig. 4. Mean RMS and standard deviation of RMS obtained with different $\delta$ for the magnitude dependent deviation model.

Fig. 5. Comparison between displacement field $u$/pixel (a), strain field $u_x/\varepsilon$ (b) calculated by qN and smoothed $u$/pixel (c), $u_x/\varepsilon$ (d) by ANTPSS.

In conclusion, the active noise thin-plate spline smooth (ANTPSS) method with two Gaussian noise models are proposed to smooth the displacement field for strain measurement in digital image correlation. Experiment on simulated tensile images shows that, the root mean-squares error of resultant strain field by ANTPSS is smaller than that by original TPSS and spline least-squares approximation. Compared with magnitude dependent deviation model, ANTPSS with constant deviation model is less sensitive to setting of active noise level $\delta$. Generally $\delta$ could be set to 1% of average displacement for most practical measurement. The proposed ANTPSS method was used to smooth the noisy displacement field obtained in the three-point bending experiment of foam block, and it generated a reliable inhomogeneous strain field. Thus, ANTPSS is a simple and effective displacement smoothing method for DIC.

The work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 51175293 and 10972114).

References