Polarization rotator-splitter covering full optical communication bands based on L-shaped cross-section waveguide
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We propose an ultra-broadband and fabrication-tolerant polarization rotator-splitter (PRS) based on a waveguide with an L-shaped cross section and a Y-junction. The proposed PRS is based on the 220 nm silicon-on-insulator platform, and it shows less than 0.27 dB insertion losses and larger than 14 dB polarization extinction ratios over a wavelength range from 1200 to 1700 nm. To the best of our knowledge, the PRS working in the whole optical communication band is proposed for the first time.
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Benefited by their high index contrast and compatibility with commercial complementary metal oxide semiconductor (CMOS) processes, silicon photonics has become a promising technology for low-cost and high-performance optical communications. Silicon optical devices have recently attracted more and more attention. However, the structural asymmetry of the submicron-scale silicon waveguide induces a large polarization birefringence. A polarization rotator-splitter (PRS) is an indispensable device for polarization division multiplexing in coherent optical communications. Recently, an on-chip silicon PRS was demonstrated mostly by using the following methods: integrating a separate polarization rotator (PR) with a polarization splitter or designing an asymmetrical directional coupler to rotate and split the polarization simultaneously, and combining mode evolution devices with mode sorting devices based on the TE1 mode transition. According to the reported works, the PRS based on the third method shows the largest bandwidth.

For the third method, a slowly varying T-shaped cross-section waveguide or an equivalent structure (T-waveguide) is used to convert the TM0 mode to the TE1 mode, while the input TE0 maintains its polarization. Then, a mode order converter and splitter are connected to the end of the T-waveguide to split the TE1 and TE0 modes and convert the TE1 mode to the TE0 mode at the same time (mode sorting). According to Ref. [17], mode conversion happens when there is a mode hybridization of the two modes. So the key for realizing TE1-based PRS is a waveguide structure where its TE1 and TM0 modes are hybridized. So far, the slowly varying T-waveguide is the only method to convert the TM0 mode to the TE1 mode efficiently. Besides, although a PRS consisting of an asymmetrical Y-junction (used as a mode sorter) and a T-waveguide shows the largest bandwidth, a high-resolution lithography process is necessary for the tip of Y-junction, because a sharp tip is crucial for highly efficiency mode sorting.

The L-shaped cross-section waveguide (L-waveguide), which consists of waveguides with different heights, has been used as a PR between fundamental modes or an equivalent structure. But using an L-waveguide for higher-order modes has not been reported, due to the irregular mode field distribution in this kind of waveguide. However, in this work, we propose a novel PRS based on a TE1-like mode in an L-waveguide for the first time. An asymmetrical Y-junction with two branches of different heights is used for mode sorting. The sharp tip is realized by overlay lithography between two layers. Thus, high-resolution lithography is not needed. Otherwise, both of the two waveguides are used to guide the mode, as this increases the design dimensions. The designed PRS based on a silicon-on-insulator (SOI) wafer with a 220 nm Si layer shows insertion losses (ILs) of less than 0.27 dB, and polarization extinction ratios (ERs) of more than 14 dB over a wavelength range from 1200 to 1700 nm. Besides, this kind of PRS has a high fabrication tolerance. To the best of our knowledge, it is the first PRS that can work over all optical communication bands (the wavelength range from 1260 to 1675 nm).

The design is based on an SOI wafer with the 220 nm-thick silicon covered by an SiO2 upper cladding.
The schematic of the PRS is shown in Fig. 1(a), and consists of two partially overlapping waveguides with different heights. The height of the higher waveguide $H_1$ is 220 nm, and that of the lower waveguide $H_2$ is 150 nm. The dotted lines in the overhead view indicate the overlap of the two waveguides. This can help one to avoid needing high-resolution lithography and reduces the decline of the mode sorting efficiency caused by the alignment error during the lithography. The length of the L-waveguide is labeled $L$. The higher waveguide is symmetrically tapered from $W_1$ (fixed at 500 nm) to $W_2$ along $L$. The non-overlapped part of the lower waveguide is tapered from 0 nm to $W_3$ along $L$. An asymmetrical Y-junction composed of an S-bend waveguide (higher waveguide with the width $W_2$) and a straight waveguide (lower waveguide with the width $W_3$) connects to the L-waveguide. The radius of the S-bend waveguide is $R_s$, and the bending angle is $\theta$. The proposed device can be fabricated by the following process. First, a hard mask is deposited on the Si layer, and the pattern of the higher waveguide is transferred to the hard mask. Second, the region where there is no pattern is etched through (it should be noted that the hard mask pattern still exists in this step). Finally, a 3 µm-thick SiO$_2$ layer is deposited.

The three-dimensional finite-difference time-domain method is implemented to simulate the structure. To choose a reasonable output waveguide width, we calculate the effective refractive indices ($n_{\text{eff}}$) of the first three modes of the L-waveguide at 1550 nm. We set $W_2 = 500$, 450, 400, and 350 nm, and sweep the value of $W_3$ from 0 to 500 nm for each $W_2$ correspondingly. The result is shown in Fig. 1(b). It can be seen that the mode hybridization region appears at $W_3 = 180–350$ nm for all of the four $W_2$ settings. In this work, we set $W_2 = 450$ and $W_3 = 400$ nm for the output waveguide to guarantee that there is a mode hybridization region in the L-waveguide. Such a width combination also meets the mode sorting principle of the asymmetrical Y-junction\cite{14,18}, that is $|n_{\text{stem,TE}_1}^{\text{eff}} - n_{\text{TM}_0}^{\text{eff}}| < |n_{\text{stem,TE}_1}^{\text{eff}} - n_{\text{TM}_0}^{\text{eff}}|$, where $n_{\text{stem,TE}_1}^{\text{eff}}$ is the $n_{\text{eff}}$ of the TE$_1$-like mode in the right end of the L-waveguide, and $n_{\text{TM}_0}^{\text{eff}}$ is the $n_{\text{eff}}$ of the TM$_0$ mode in the higher waveguide of the Y-junction.

Figure 2(a) shows the mode and the mode’s indices evolution along the L-waveguide with $W_2 = 450$ and $W_3 = 400$ nm at the wavelength of 1550 nm. It can be surrounded by the dotted line) is transferred to the photoresist. Fourth, the region where there is no pattern is etched through (it should be noted that the hard mask pattern still exists in this step). Finally, a 3 µm-thick SiO$_2$ layer is deposited.
seen that the mode hybridization happens at $\approx 0.64L$. The inset clearly shows the mode evolution along the propagation direction. In the beginning ($W_1 = 500$ nm), the mode 1, mode 2, and mode 3 are TE$_0$, TM$_0$, and TE$_1$ mode, respectively. While at the end ($W_2 = 450$ and $W_3 = 400$ nm), the mode 1, mode 2, and mode 3 are TE$_0$, TE$_1$-like and TM$_0$ mode, respectively. Then we sweep the L-waveguide length $L$ for a sufficient conversion between the TM$_0$ mode and the TE$_1$-like mode over a wavelength range from 1500 to 1600 nm. As shown in Fig. 2(b), when $L$ is larger than 70 $\mu$m, the average transmission efficiencies of both polarization inputs are more than $-0.1$ dB (97.7%). When $L = 110$ $\mu$m, the average conversion efficiency of the TM$_0$ mode to the TE$_1$-like mode reaches its maximum, $-0.064$ dB (98.5%). Thus, in the following calculation, $L$ is fixed at 110 $\mu$m.

According to Refs. [18–20], if the mode conversion factor (MCF) is larger than 0.43, the asymmetrical Y-junction can be used as a mode sorter. The MCF is inversely proportional to the angle ($\varphi$) between the Y-junction arms. $\varphi$ varies with bending radiuses of the S-bend waveguide in the asymmetrical Y-junction. For high-efficiency mode sorting and to avoid bending loss, we sweep the radius starting with 30 $\mu$m with $\theta$ fixed at 15°, as shown in Fig. 3. It can be seen that the average transmission efficiency variation of the input TE$_0$ mode is the same as that of the converted TE$_0$ mode from the TE$_1$-like mode. Both of them are more than 98.8% ($-0.05$ dB loss) when $R_s = 35$ $\mu$m.

Then the total transmission efficiency is calculated when connecting the optimized asymmetrical Y-junction with the L-waveguide. The above device has a total length of about 127 $\mu$m. Initially, to save time in the simulation, only the efficiency over a wavelength range from 1500 to 1600 nm is calculated. For analyzing the bandwidth property of this PRS, the simulation is implemented at a wavelength range from 1260 to 1675 nm. The simulation results are shown in Fig. 4. Over the simulation wavelength range, the ILs and the ERs of both polarization inputs are less than 0.25 dB and more than 14 dB, respectively.

The length of the proposed PRS is also optimized for a smaller footprint. In fact, the L-waveguide can be divided into three parts. The long beginning and ending parts of the L-waveguide have limited contributions to the polarization conversion. Those parts act as mode size converters more than polarization converters. The polarization conversion primarily occurs in the middle part. For the mode size converter, the lengths of the beginning and ending parts are still too long. To shorten those parts and design a more compact device, as shown in Fig. 5(a), we set the exposed middle part of the lower waveguide tapering from $W_{11} = 180$ to $W_{22} = 350$ nm, and the corresponding higher waveguide tapering from $W_{33} = 478$ to $W_{44} = 456$ nm. Then the length of this part is $L_1 = (W_{22} - W_{11})/W_3 \approx 47$ $\mu$m, and the length of the beginning and ending parts are shortened to $L_2 = L_3 = 10$ $\mu$m; thus, the total length of the short PRS is about 84 $\mu$m.

The simulation results of the shortened PRS are shown in Fig. 5. Over the simulation wavelength range, the ILs and the ERs of both polarization inputs are less than 0.27 dB and more than 14 dB, respectively. By comparing Figs. 4(d)–5(h), it can be seen that the output of the TM$_0$ mode in port 1 of the short PRS is higher than that of the long PRS at some wavelengths, which means the polarization conversion in the short PRS is not sufficient as that in the long PRS. However, the remaining TM$_0$ modes of both PRSs are less than $-14$ dB (4%), indicating that the polarization conversions in the beginning and ending parts of the both PRSs are somewhat acceptable for most applications.

To investigate the fabrication tolerance of the PRS, we simulate the transmission efficiency variations with the width deviations of the waveguides, the alignment error of the two waveguides heights, and the etching depth deviation at 1310 and 1550 nm. Considering the practical process conditions, we set the width deviation within
50 nm, the alignment error within 60 nm, and the etching depth deviation within 10 nm. As shown in Figs. 6(a)–6(c), the IL deviations with the fabrication errors are small. The worst IL, when the TM$_0$ mode input and the width of the higher waveguide is widened by 50 nm, is still less than 0.69 dB. The polarization ER has serious deviations with the waveguide dimension variations and the alignment errors. But the worst ER, when the TM$_0$ mode input and the alignment error is −60 nm, is still about 10 dB, as shown in the bottom of Fig. 6(c). From Fig. 6(d), it can be seen that the etching depth deviation has little

**Table 1. Comparison of the PRSs With Bandwidths Greater Than 300 nm**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IL</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.4 dB</td>
<td>0.27 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ER</td>
<td>25 dB</td>
<td>12 dB</td>
<td>14 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandwidth</td>
<td>300 nm</td>
<td>400 nm</td>
<td>500 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>400 μm</td>
<td>95 μm</td>
<td>84 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min linewidth (gap)</td>
<td>150 nm</td>
<td>0 nm</td>
<td>400 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min linewidth (tip)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cladding</td>
<td>Si$_3$N$_4$</td>
<td>SiO$_2$</td>
<td>SiO$_2$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 5. (a) Schematic of the proposed PRS. Top: before shortening, bottom: after shortening. (b)–(e) Simulated field intensity distributions of the shortened PRS at 1310 and 1550 nm wavelengths. (f)–(h) Different modes’ output efficiencies as a function of the wavelength in the two output ports of the shortened PRS. Transmission efficiencies below −40 dB are not shown.

Fig. 6. Simulated the transmission efficiencies varied with (a) the higher waveguide width deviation, (b) the lower waveguide width deviation, (c) the alignment error, and (d) the etching depth deviation. The transmission efficiencies of 1310 nm wavelength are the dashed line, and that of the 1550 nm wavelength are the solid line. The above figures are the TE$_0$ input situation, and the bottom figures are the TM$_0$ input situation. The inset in figure (c) demonstrates the alignment error, and the dashed–dotted line is the center line of the lower waveguide. The inset in figure (d) demonstrates the etching depth deviation, and the dashed–dotted line is the upper surface of the lower waveguide. Transmission efficiencies below −30 dB are not shown.

In conclusion, we propose a novel L-waveguide-based PRS covering the whole optical communication band. The L-waveguide is designed for the mode evolution and an asymmetric Y-junction is used for the mode sorting. The PRS shows ILs of less than 0.27 dB and polarization ERs of above 14 dB over a wavelength range from 1200 to 1700 nm. The proposed PRS has a high fabrication tolerance and is ready for CMOS fabrication. This device can be a universal solution to the silicon optical device’s polarization diversity for the applications of datacom, metro, and long-haul optical communications.
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